



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/421,043	10/20/1999	TOSHIO MASUDA	503.34403VP2	3576

20457 7590 06/04/2002

ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS
SUITE 1800
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET
ARLINGTON, VA 22209

EXAMINER

ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1763

2)

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/421,043	MASUDA ET AL.
	Examiner Luz L. Alejandro	Art Unit 1763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2002.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 21-31 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 21-31 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>20</u> .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4-10-02 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 21-line 4 and 26-lines 12-13, it is unclear what applicant means by the replaceable jacket being held inside the sidewall, since it appears that the jacket is exposed to the plasma. Also, it is not clear how the jacket is exchangeable if it is located inside the sidewall.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinichiro, JP 63-005526A.

Shinichiro shows the invention as claimed including a plasma etching apparatus for etching a sample comprising: an etching chamber 1 having a side wall; replaceable jacket 4 provided with a heating unit for protecting the side wall of the etching chamber and which is removable from the side wall of the etching chamber (see last three lines of "Constitution"); a sample holder which holds a sample 7 to be etched within the etching chamber; means for generating a plasma 6 and for etching the sample within the etching chamber; and means for preventing etching of a surface of the replaceable jacket which is held inside of the side wall of the etching chamber and faces the plasma during etching of the sample by depositing a coating film on the surface of the replaceable jacket facing the plasma during etching of the sample (see Fig. 1 and abstract).

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinji, JP 09-275092.

Shinji shows the invention as claimed including an etching chamber having a side wall; replaceable jacket 12 for protecting the side wall of the etching chamber and

which is removable from the side wall of the etching chamber; a sample holder 5 which holds a sample 4 to be etched within the etching chamber; means for generating a plasma 0 and for etching the sample within the etching chamber; and means for preventing etching of a surface of the replaceable jacket which is held inside of the side wall of the etching chamber and faces the plasma during etching of the sample by depositing a coating film on the surface of the replaceable jacket facing the plasma during etching of the sample (see Fig. 1 and abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 21-25 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto et al., U.S. Patent 5,843,277 in view of Hanaguri, JP 1-208499.

Goto et al. discloses a plasma etching apparatus for etching of a sample 115 comprising: an etching chamber 100 having a side wall and a jacket including heat exchange water jackets which are held inside of said side wall (see col. 8-lines 20-42), the sample 115 being disposed in said etching chamber; an evacuating system 170 which evacuates said etching chamber by an evacuation system; an etching gas supply 150 which supplies an etching gas into said etching chamber; a plasma generator 190 which generates a plasma for performing etching of said sample in said etching chamber; and a temperature controller which circulates a heat exchanging medium (water) through the interior of the jacket to control the temperature of the surface of the jacket in a range of 20-60 Celsius (see col. 8-lines 20-36 and Fig. 1 and its description).

Goto et al. does not expressly disclose that the jacket is removable from the side wall of the etching chamber, and the specific thickness of the coating layer.

With respect to the jacket being removable from the side wall of the etching chamber, Hanaguri discloses a jacket 5 which is held inside of side wall 2 so as to form a wall surface of the etching chamber and is removable from the etching chamber (see translation at page 2, lines 8-10, page 9, lines 13-15, and Figs. 1-7). Furthermore, note that Hanaguri discloses that the apparatus enables a coating to be formed on the sidewall/removable jacket (see page 4, lines 6-15 of the translation). In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Goto et al. to include the removable

jacket as suggested by Hanaguri et al. because this would allow more efficient cleaning of the apparatus in Goto et al..

Moreover, with respect to the deposition of a coating layer on the sidewall/jacket, note that the apparatus of Goto et al. is capable of performing the deposition of such layer, since the reference teaches, at col. 8, lines 20-36, that the sidewall is maintained at a range of temperatures from 20-60 Celsius (wider temperature ranges are also contemplated) which as stated by applicants in page 21, lines 16-24 and claims 22 and 28, enable deposition of the coating layer.

Concerning the thickness of the coating layer, such limitation is directed to a method limitation instead of an apparatus limitation, and since an apparatus is being claimed as the instant invention, the method teachings are not considered to be the matter at hand, since a variety of methods can be done with the apparatus. The method limitations are viewed as intended uses that do not further limit, and therefore do not patentably distinguish the claimed invention. Moreover, such limitation is considered to involve routine experimentation which has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have modified the apparatus of Goto modified by Hanaguri as to deposit a coating layer having the claimed thickness in order to optimize the process being performed in the apparatus.

Claims 21-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanaguri, JP 1-208499A in view of Goto et al., U.S. Patent 5,843,277.

Hanaguri shows the invention substantially as claimed including a chamber 1 having a side wall and a replaceable jacket 5 which is held inside of said side wall so as to form a wall surface 2 of said chamber and which is removable from the side wall of said etching chamber, the sample 7 being disposed in said chamber 1, an evacuation system A which evacuates said chamber by an evacuation system; and a temperature controller which circulates water as a heat exchanging medium through the interior of the replaceable jacket 5 so as to control a temperature of the surface of the jacket which faces the interior of the chamber within a predetermined range and enables depositing of a coating layer on the surface of the replaceable jacket (see translation under "Application Examples").

Hanaguri does not expressly disclose the chamber being a plasma etching apparatus which includes an etching gas supply and a plasma generator, controlling the temperature within a range of zero to fifty degrees Celsius, and the specific thickness of the coating layer. However, Hanaguri does disclose at the paragraph bridging pages 3 and 4 of the translation that the invention has applicability in vacuum film formation devices. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would realize plasma formation devices to be a suitable vacuum film formation device, as defined by Hanaguri. Furthermore, Goto discloses a plasma apparatus which can be used for plasma etching and includes a plasma generator 190 and a gas supply 150. In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Hanaguri so as to be a plasma apparatus since this is a suitable vacuum film formation device. Regarding the use of

the plasma apparatus, it is submitted that a plasma apparatus can be used for either etching or depositing depending upon the particular gasses selected for the process.

Concerning the controlling of the temperature, it is submitted that the apparatus of Hanaguri is capable of controlling the temperature of the side wall within the claimed temperature range. Furthermore, the coating layer thickness is directed to a method limitation instead of an apparatus limitation, and since an apparatus is being claimed as the instant invention, the method teachings are not considered to be the matter at hand, since a variety of methods can be done with the apparatus. The method limitations are viewed as intended uses that do not further limit, and therefore do not patentably distinguish the claimed invention. Moreover, such limitation is considered to involve routine experimentation which has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have modified the apparatus of Hanaguri modified by Goto et al. as to deposit a coating layer having the claimed thickness in order to optimize the process being performed in the apparatus.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luz L. Alejandro whose telephone number is 305-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills, can be reached on 308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 872-9310 for regular communications and 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1782.


Luz L. Alejandro
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1763

May 31, 2002